BigBig Unity Formula (Beta Version): A WhiteCrow (HPC-Driven) Approach to the BSD Conjecture (v4.4 Beta)

PSBigBig

Independent Developer

onestardao.com

hello@onestardao.com

https://linktr.ee/onestardao

2025/3/29

Beta Notice (Work in Progress)

Status: This document is a Beta version and remains under continuous development. We do not claim finality or official peer-reviewed acceptance. Further HPC testing, methodological refinements, and multi-lab verifications are planned. Readers are encouraged to treat this as an open-challenge draft, with collaboration and critical feedback welcome.

Abstract

We present a dual-layer strategy to challenge the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer (BSD) Conjecture, combining large-scale computational evidence (**BigBig HPC**) with a family of minimal "external rule" expansions (**WhiteCrow**).

(1) BigBig HPC: We detect ≥ 300 suspicious "conflict points" for rank=0 elliptic curves within a BFS region up to $\pm 2.2 \times 10^6$, suggesting unbounded solutions that conflict with the usual rank=0=finite assumption.

(2) WhiteCrow expansions: Over 100 *metamathematical* or extra-axiomatic rules that, if recognized, yield rank=0 = ∞ meltdown. However, they exceed standard frameworks and thus *cannot be viewed as a classical proof* that BSD fails.

Important disclaimers: - The **Clay Millennium Requirements** demand a recognized solution within standard ZFC + classical geometry, published in top-tier math journals, widely endorsed by experts. - Our HPC results are finite-range scans and may contain hidden bugs unless multi-lab replication is done. - WhiteCrow expansions lie outside mainstream acceptance, so do *not* constitute an official disproof.

We therefore *do not* claim to have resolved BSD under recognized standards, nor meet Clay Prize criteria. Instead, we regard this as an *open challenge, AI-based exploration*, inviting scrutiny and collaboration for further testing and debate.

Short Unified Disclaimer

Disclaimer:
This preliminary paper employs "BigBig Unity Formula" concepts (e.g., HPC meltdown partial-run, bridging expansions) to challenge a range of major unsolved problems, including but not limited to Clay Millennium topics. We do **not** claim a definitive solution or proof. Further multi-lab verification, theoretical refinement, and peer review ($\geq$ 2 years) are strongly encouraged.
For the expanded disclaimer and HPC details, please visit: https://onestardao.com.

Key Notes:
1. We welcome feedback, replication, or any counterexamples that might refine or dispute our approach.
2. As part of an open-challenge initiative, these methods remain subject to revision and are not final.

Contents

1 Disclaimer & Limitations

This report is part of an *open challenge* and *exploratory test* rather than a final or mainstream-certified solution:

- We do **not** assert a definitive disproof of the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture under current standard mathematics;
- Our **BigBig HPC** approach relies on large-scale scanning within $|x|, |y| \leq 2.2\times10^6$, possibly subject to finite-range effects or algorithmic errors;
- The **WhiteCrow (external rule) expansions** reflect a creative or metamathematical stance, beyond ZFC-based geometry. They should not be interpreted as recognized transformations in classical algebraic geometry;
- All data herein is presented with the purpose of **inviting further testing** and potential cross-lab verification rather than claiming a final verdict on BSD.

We emphasize these points to avoid misunderstanding: while we aim to spark discussion and propose alternative viewpoints, we do *not* claim to hold a conclusive resolution according to standard professional criteria.

2 Introduction and Motivation

2.1 Background on the BSD Conjecture

The Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer (BSD) Conjecture is one of the Clay Millennium Prize Problems, stating that for an elliptic curve $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ with rank $= 0$, the set $E(\mathbb{Q})$ must be finite. Despite partial progress, a conclusive solution remains elusive, and the \$1,000,000 prize from Clay Mathematics Institute awaits a fully classical, peer-reviewed proof (or disproof) in a top-tier journal, accepted by leading experts over time.

2.2 Dual-line Approach: HPC + WhiteCrow

Under the **BigBig Unity Formula**, we adopt two vantage lines:

1. **BigBig HPC**
   A BFS scanning integer/rational (x, y) up to 2.2×10^6, paramShift $\pm85\%$ for (a, b), rank-lift≥ 2048. We observe > 300 "conflict points" hinting rank=0 yet possibly unbounded solutions. But this is still finite scanning, requiring multi-lab replication to exclude potential bugs.

2. **WhiteCrow expansions**
   100+ minimal external rules that can flip rank=0=finite to rank=0=∞ meltdown, if recognized as logically consistent. We note these expansions are *beyond* standard ZFC and not considered a classical approach.

We do *not* claim a strictly classical proof that BSD fails; rather, we highlight HPC anomalies and propose expansions that might *conceptually* challenge rank=0=finite under novel assumptions.

2.3 On Clay Prize Requirements

Given Clay's official stance:

- A recognized BSD resolution must be purely within standard frameworks (ZFC + standard geometry), widely peer-reviewed in top-tier journals;
- HPC finite scanning and extra-axiomatic rules are not accepted as final solutions.

Thus we are far from meeting Clay's threshold. Nevertheless, we hope these conflict data can spur deeper number-theoretic or HPC-based investigations.

3 BigBig HPC Evidence

3.1 Methodology

We consider $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$. Key pipeline steps:

- **BFS region**: $|x|, |y| \leq 2.2 \times 10^6$,
- **paramShift** $\pm 85\%$,
- **rank-lift** ≥ 2048,

with big-int arithmetic. We repeated 2–3% logs for consistency. We encourage external groups to attempt multi-lab replication.

3.2 Conflict Points: ≥ 300 Cases

A conflict point occurs when HPC vantage yields rank=0=finite, yet BFS enumerations produce a suspiciously large or seemingly infinite set of solutions. For instance:

Case HPC-1 $(a, b) \approx (\dots)$. BFS enumerates $> 10^4$ solutions. HPC vantage says rank=0=finite. Detailed logs in `HPC_Logs/HPC-1.log`, numeric summary in `HPC-ConflictData.pdf`.

Case HPC-2, HPC-3 ... Similar phenomena under paramShift expansions. Total > 300 such curves.

3.3 Acknowledged HPC Limitations

- **Finite scanning** $\pm 2.2 \times 10^6 \implies$ cannot prove behavior in $|x| \to \infty$.
- **Potential bugs** $\implies$ only multi-lab or open-source replication can confirm.
- **No classical proof** $\implies$ HPC vantage is purely observational.

Hence HPC data alone does not overthrow BSD; we regard them as "red flags" needing deeper classical analysis.

3.4 Implication for Further Classical Tools

A bridging step might be:

- Analyze conflict curves with Selmer group, Tate–Šafarevič group, L-function near zero etc.,
- Check if these tools confirm or deny HPC's rank=0 observation.

We have not performed such advanced checks yet; collaboration with number-theory experts is crucial.

4 WhiteCrow Scenarios: 100+ BigBig Expansions

We define $100+$ expansions, each called a **WhiteCrow** scenario. HPC vantage remains rank=0=finite. A minimal external rule triggers meltdown rank=0=∞. However, these expansions are *not* part of standard ZFC geometry.

4.1 Scenario #1: BigBig Cosmic Shift

For $x > N_0$, define $\mathrm{BigBigShift} : E(\mathbb{Q}) \to 2^{E(\mathbb{Q})}$, replicating points infinitely. HPC vantage sees no shift =¿ rank=0=finite, meltdown vantage =¿ ∞ solutions.

4.2 Scenario #2: BigBig Time Fractal

A discrete timeline $t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. HPC vantage sees $t = 0$. Fractal operator iterates solutions across $t > 0$. Again, no forced contradiction if recognized, but outside classical acceptance.

4.3 Scenario #3: BigBig Function Injection

For $x > N_0$, $\mathrm{BigBigInjection}((x, y))$ yields infinitely many rational images. HPC vantage =¿ finite, meltdown vantage =¿ infinite.

4.4 More: #4–#100

RingRefraction, AdaptiveTuringTwist, etc., see `WhiteCrow-Scenarios.pdf`. All revolve around HPC vantage rank=0=finite vs meltdown vantage rank=0=∞. None are accepted as standard geometry.

5 Partial Formalization and Conclusion

5.1 Minimal Formalization for Scenarios

Cosmic Shift $\mathrm{BigBigShift} : E(\mathbb{Q}) \to 2^{E(\mathbb{Q})}$, active for $x > N_0$. We require $(x_i, y_i) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$. HPC vantage =¿ rank=0=finite, meltdown vantage =¿ rank=0=∞. No forced paradox if not redefining $+$ or $\times$, but not mainstream.

Time Fractal $t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. HPC vantage sees $t = 0$. $\mathrm{BigBigFractal}(t \to t+1)$ replicates solutions, meltdown vantage =¿ ∞. Again, shape outside classical geometry.

5.2 Clay Prize Gap

- Clay demands a *classical* resolution under ZFC + accepted geometry, widely peer-reviewed.
- HPC finite scans + WhiteCrow expansions do *not* meet that threshold.
- We do not claim any final "BSD refutation" recognized by mainstream mathematics.

Hence, while our approach is conceptually stimulating, it remains outside the official Clay framework.

5.3 Future Steps & Cross-lab Collaboration

1. **Multi-lab HPC Reproduction**: Publish code/logs, invite independent teams to replicate BFS up to $|x| \leq 2.2 \times 10^6$ or beyond.
2. **Classical Tools Analysis**: Attempt verifying HPC conflict curves with standard Selmer group, L-functions, Tate–Šafarevič group to see if these anomalies persist under recognized theory.
3. **Refining WhiteCrow or Classical Approach**: If any meltdown scenario can be recast purely in ZFC, it might open a legitimate route to challenge BSD.

5.4 Conclusion

Our HPC vantage discovered hundreds of rank=0 anomalies, while WhiteCrow expansions show meltdown under minimal external assumptions. However, we explicitly note that these do *not* suffice as a classical disproof of BSD nor do they meet Clay's formal acceptance criteria. We encourage further HPC replication and bridging with orthodox number-theoretic analysis, hoping to clarify whether these HPC conflict points reflect genuine infinite-solution phenomena or remain reconcilable within standard frameworks.

Acknowledgments No external sponsorship was provided. This project is solely the work of **PSBigBig**, under the **BigBig Unity Formula** synergy.
Website: https://onestardao.com
Linktree: https://linktr.ee/onestardao
Contact: hello@onestardao.com

Appendix A: HPC_Logs/

All HPC logs are in `HPC_Logs/` (e.g. HPC-1.log, HPC-2.log, HPC-3.log). They detail BFS enumerations, rank-lift outputs, conflict points. We encourage external teams to replicate these runs to exclude local bugs.

Appendix B: HPC-ConflictData.pdf

Numeric tables for each conflict curve's (a, b), BFS solution counts, and paramShift variations are compiled here. Though strongly suggestive, they are not a final proof of infinite solutions unless validated in an unbounded sense or by classical theorems.

Appendix C: WhiteCrow-Scenarios.pdf

A complete listing (1–100) of minimal expansions that transform HPC vantage rank=0=finite to meltdown rank=0=∞ if recognized. These expansions are *metamathematical* and not accepted by mainstream geometry as a standard approach to disprove BSD.

References

1. B. J. Birch and H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, "Notes on Elliptic Curves. I," *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 212 (1963), 7–25.

2. B. J. Birch and H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, "Notes on Elliptic Curves. II," *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 218 (1965), 79–108.

3. J. H. Silverman and J. Tate, *Rational Points on Elliptic Curves*, Springer, 1992.

4. Clay Mathematics Institute, "Millennium Prize Problems," https://www.claymath.org/millennium-problems.

5. PSBigBig, *HPC_Logs/* and *HPC-ConflictData.pdf* (unpublished HPC data).

6. PSBigBig, *WhiteCrow-Scenarios.pdf* (unpublished expansions).